

University of Groningen

Steroid receptors and their regulation in avian extraembryonic membranes provide a novel substrate for hormone mediated maternal effects

Kumar, Neeraj; Lohrentz, Anja; Gahr, Manfred; Groothuis, Ton G. G.

Published in:
Scientific Reports

DOI:
[10.1038/s41598-019-48001-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48001-x)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kumar, N., Lohrentz, A., Gahr, M., & Groothuis, T. G. G. (2019). Steroid receptors and their regulation in avian extraembryonic membranes provide a novel substrate for hormone mediated maternal effects. *Scientific Reports*, 9, [11501]. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48001-x>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

OPEN

Steroid receptors and their regulation in avian extraembryonic membranes provide a novel substrate for hormone mediated maternal effects

 Neeraj Kumar^{1,2}, Anja Lohrentz², Manfred Gahr² & Ton G. G. Groothuis¹

Exposure of the vertebrate embryo to maternal hormones can have long-lasting effects on its phenotype, which has been studied extensively by experimentally manipulating maternal steroids, mostly androgens, in bird eggs. Yet, there is a severe lack of understanding of how and when these effects are actually mediated, hampering both underlying proximate and ultimate explanations. Here we report a novel finding that the embryo expresses androgen receptor (AR) and estrogen receptor (ER α) mRNA in its extraembryonic membranes (EMs) as early as before its own hormone production starts, suggesting a novel substrate for action of maternal hormones on the offspring. We also report the first experimental evidence for steroid receptor regulation in the avian embryo in response to yolk steroid levels: the level of AR is dependent on yolk androgen levels only in the EMs but not in body tissues, suggesting embryonic adaptation to maternal hormones. The results also solve the problem of uptake of lipophilic steroids from the yolk, why they affect multiple traits, and how they could mediate maternal effects without affecting embryonic sexual differentiation.

In many animal taxa, including vertebrates, the embryo is exposed to maternal hormones, which can have long-lasting effects on its phenotype (fish¹, reptiles², birds^{3–5}, mammals^{6,7}). Several studies have injected steroids, mostly androgens, into bird eggs, the most widely used model, mimicking variation in maternal yolk deposition and finding a wide array of effects on the offspring phenotype^{3–5,8}. The mechanisms underlying such effects are largely ignored, hampering further progress in this prevalent field of research⁹. In order to be functional, the androgens must reach the embryonic tissues and those tissues must have androgen receptors (AR). However, very early in incubation, yolk androgens seem to be rapidly metabolized to inactive forms by the embryo^{10–12}. Moreover, in spite of being polar, steroid hormones are lipophilic and do not easily dissolve in water. Therefore, it remains an enigma how the embryo is able to take up these hormones from the lipid rich yolk into its watery circulation for their transport to body tissues where they can exert their effects.

We tested the hypothesis that the embryo expresses AR and/or estrogen receptors (ER α , as alpha is the most commonly studied isoform in bird species) in the extraembryonic membranes (EMs) where maternal hormones could act without the need to reach to body tissues. The embryo produces EMs – yolk sac, amnion, chorion, and allantois, that support embryo's nutrition, physical protection, respiration, and excretion¹³, having similar functions as the fetal placenta in mammals. The EMs are at the immediate interface of the maternal egg yolk containing the maternal hormones and the circulation of developing embryo (Fig. 1), making these a potential candidate for mediating effects of maternal hormones on the embryo.

It has been shown earlier that yolk androgens can affect AR expression in the brain of the young chick¹⁴. However, it remains unknown to what extent such receptors are present and influenced by yolk hormones at the interface of the yolk and embryonic circulation, already early in embryonic development, before these hormones are metabolized during the first days of incubation. Therefore, we also tested the hypothesis whether the androgen

¹Behavioural Biology, Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. ²Behavioural Neurobiology, Max Planck Institute for Ornithology, Seewiesen, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.K. (email: neeraj.bioscience@gmail.com)




Figure 1. A schematic representation of development of chicken embryo and its extraembryonic membranes after five days of egg incubation (redrawn with modifications after Patten, 1920)⁴⁵.




Figure 2. qPCR analysis for receptor mRNA expression. The comparison of androgen (AR, panels (a–c)) and estrogen (ER α , panels d–f) receptor mRNA expression plotted as normalized Ct values (individual data plotted together with mean and standard deviation) in embryonic head, decapitated body, and extraembryonic membrane tissues from chicken eggs injected with T, or A4, or oil as a control, followed by incubation for five days. The receptor expression levels are inversely related to the normalized Ct values. The numbers in parentheses represent sample sizes, * $p < 0.05$.

treatment could induce changes in AR and/or ER α expression in embryonic tissues. If so, this would indicate that the embryo is an active player in the translation of the maternal signal.

We assessed the effect of elevated yolk testosterone (T) and, in other eggs, androstenedione (A4), within the physiological range of the species on AR and ER α expression in the EMs and in embryonic body tissues (the head and the decapitated body) analysed by quantitative PCR (qPCR), using chicken eggs incubated for five days. This time-period was chosen because the gonadal differentiation^{15,16} and the surge of the endogenous steroid production¹⁷ in the chicken embryo starts only after this period.

Results

AR mRNA was expressed in all three embryonic fractions: head, decapitated body, and EMs (Fig. 2a–c). It shall be noted that the receptor expression levels are inversely related to the normalized threshold cycle (Ct) values of the qPCR procedure. There was no significant overall effect of the egg treatment on AR expression levels ($F_{2,48} = 0.011$, $p = 0.989$), but there was a significant interaction effect between egg treatment and embryonic

	Estimate	Standard error	p-value
Embryonic head			
A4 vs T	−0.290	0.261	0.511
A4 vs Oil	−0.338	0.272	0.433
T vs Oil	−0.048	0.272	0.983
Embryonic decapitated body			
A4 vs T	−0.258	0.298	0.664
A4 vs Oil	−0.437	0.272	0.252
T vs Oil	−0.179	0.288	0.809
Extraembryonic membranes			
A4 vs T	0.517	0.272	0.148
A4 vs Oil	0.813	0.282	0.016
T vs Oil	0.296	0.272	0.525

Table 1. Tukey's post-hoc comparisons for the effect of egg treatment (A4, T, or Oil) on AR expression in embryonic tissues (head, decapitated body, and extraembryonic membranes).

tissue ($F_{4,48} = 3.266$, $p = 0.019$). Tukey's post-hoc comparisons revealed a significant downregulation of AR expression under A4 treatment only in the EMs ($p = 0.016$, Table 1).

ER α mRNA was also expressed in all three embryonic fractions, but to much lower levels than AR (Fig. 2d–f). There was no significant overall effect of the egg treatment on ER α expression levels ($F_{2,48} = 0.754$, $p = 0.476$), and neither was any significant interaction effect between egg treatment and embryonic tissue ($F_{4,48} = 1.737$, $p = 0.157$).

Discussion

It is generally assumed that avian maternal hormones in the egg can be functional only if they reach embryonic body tissues. Here we report that both ARs and ERs are expressed in avian EMs (Fig. 2) as early as approximately one-fourth of the entire egg incubation period until hatching, before the embryo's own hormone production starts^{15–17}, opening up a novel, potential pathway for hormone mediated maternal effects. The EMs, particularly the yolk sac, provide potent sites for embryonic contact with yolk contents due to their relatively larger surface area and denser blood vessel networks, compared to the embryonic body tissues (Fig. 1). Furthermore, we found that AR expression is dependent on yolk A4 levels only in the EMs, suggesting embryonic adaptation to its exposure to maternal androgens in the egg as the EMs are right at the interface of maternal yolk environment and embryonic circulation. The importance of the EMs for yolk hormones have also been shown by the fact that the EMs express enzymes that are important for regulating steroid metabolism, as found in a turtle species^{18,19}. One of the steroid metabolites is etiocholanolone, which is an androgen metabolite formed during egg incubation^{10,11}, and it has been suggested that etiocholanolone might influence erythropoiesis via yolk sac membrane¹⁰, but for which there is as yet no experimental evidence. There was no effect of T treatment on AR expression in the EMs, which could simply be due to the fact that the amount of injected T was much lower than A4.

There was no effect of increased T or A4 yolk levels on the AR and ER α mRNA expression in the embryonic body tissues (Fig. 2a,b,d,e). This suggests it is unlikely that elevated concentrations of maternal yolk androgens affect offspring phenotype by their effect on early embryonic responsiveness to its own endogenous steroids later in development (i.e. after five days of incubation). However, it should be studied further whether the androgen treatment might affect the embryonic AR and ER α receptor expression in the body tissues at later developmental stages. The levels of the ER α mRNA expression were much lower than the AR in all the embryonic fractions examined (Fig. 2).

Though several studies have previously reported steroid receptors in avian embryonic body tissues (AR^{20,21}, ER^{14,21–23}, progesterone receptor (PR)^{24,25}, glucocorticoid receptor (GR)²⁶), the data on receptors in the EMs are scarce. Two of these membranes, chorion and allantois, in combination form a tissue lining at the inner surface of the eggshell, known as the chorioallantoic membrane. Chorioallantoic membrane tissue was found to express AR²⁷, ER²⁸, and PR²⁵ in 8 to 18 days old chicken embryos, chicken embryos partly cultured in petri dishes²⁹, as well as in reptiles^{27,30}. However, the chorioallantoic membrane starts to develop only after day 4 and at a very slow rate³¹, contributing less than 5% to the total EMs dry weight by day 5³². This indicates that the high receptor expression that we found is very likely to be localized in the yolk sac membrane itself and should be further verified. The yolk sac membrane is in a much better position than the chorioallantoic membrane for translating yolk hormones to the embryo as the chorioallantoic membrane does not have direct access to the yolk and hence maternal hormones. The mammalian fetal placenta, an equivalent of part of the avian EMs, has also been found to express AR³³, ER³⁴, and GR^{35–37}, mediating effects of maternal condition, however their presence has always been measured at much later stages of embryonic development. That is typically at the time of delivery with only one exception of about 55% completion of fetal development³⁴ while we measured the receptors already at 24% of the total embryonic development period.

Another long-standing question in the field is how the gonadal sex-steroids in the egg mediate maternal effects without interfering with embryonic sexual differentiation processes³⁸. One potential explanation is very early embryonic metabolism of maternal steroids, i.e. prior to the critical time-window for sexual differentiation^{11,39}. Our proposed mechanism, activating ARs in the EM very early, provides an additional potential solution to this problem as we postulate that maternal steroids need not reach embryonic body tissues to mediate maternal

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
AR	gatggcctgaagaaccagaa	gaaatgatggccgagatca
ER α	ttcaaggggaggaattgtg	gtccagaacacggaggata
HMBS	cctcagctagaattcaggatatt	gattctcccagccattctc
YWHAZ	gttgctgctggagatgaaa	atctgatcgatgtgtggc

Table 2. Primer pairs used for qPCR.

effects. Furthermore, maternal hormones could induce receptor mediated transcription long before organs that undergo sexual differentiation, such as the hypothalamus, are developed. Additionally, the activation of the receptors in the EMs so early in the process of building a new organism and its expression not being limited to specific brain or other tissues might also explain the wide array of maternal hormone effects observed in the literature.

The location of these receptors may explain how the lipophilic hormones in the yolk that would be difficult to extract and taken up in the embryo's circulation, can affect the embryo. However, it remains to explore further what kind of molecular and physiological effects are elicited via AR activation in the EMs. Finally, the receptor downregulation caused by increased yolk A4 levels indicates that the embryo can to some extent negate potential effects of elevated hormone exposure, suggesting that the embryo is not simply a passive receiver of the mother's signals but may play its own role in mother-offspring conflict^{40–43}.

Methods

Animal ethics. This study used five days old chicken embryos, which does not require an ethical license or approval from an animal experimentation committee.

Experimental design. Fertilized unincubated chicken eggs of Lohman Brown Classic strain were randomly collected from a local chicken farm, and randomly allocated to the three weight-matched treatment groups. Each egg was injected with 100 μ l of sterilized sesame oil with either 0.2 μ g/ml stable isotope labelled T, or 0.58 μ g/ml stable isotope labelled A4, or only oil as a control, with seven eggs per group. Stable isotope labelled androgens were used in order to track steroid metabolism using mass spectrometry as part of another study. Due to a lack of prior studies on the effect of egg hormone treatment on the embryonic receptor expression, it was not possible to make a reliable estimate of the effect size for sample size prediction. Therefore, a sample size of seven was chosen which is just above the minimum required sample size to perform statistical tests. The injected hormone values were within two standard deviations of the mean yolk hormone concentrations found in our earlier study¹² (T = 0.74 ± 0.13 pg mg⁻¹; A4 = 23.24 ± 2.20 pg mg⁻¹; means \pm s.d.). The eggs were subsequently incubated for five days at 37 °C at a relative humidity of 60% in an incubator (Brinsea Ova-Easy Advance). At the end of five days of incubation each individual embryo (of either sex) was separated into three fractions: embryonic head, decapitated body, and EMs, which were frozen at -80 °C until AR and ER α mRNA expression analysis took place.

AR and ER α mRNA expression analysis. The receptor mRNA expression was analysed by qPCR by a technician blind to the treatment groups. We started with seven different embryos for each of the three treatments (T, A4, oil) per tissue type (embryonic head, decapitated body, and EMs). Tissue was homogenized (Tissue Ruptor, Qiagen). Total RNA was isolated from deep frozen tissue according to the kit instructions (RNeasy Mini kit, Qiagen). RNA Quality was measured using Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) and quantified using Nanodrop (Peqlab), of which the descriptive statistics (average, standard deviation, and range per treatment group for each tissue type) is provided in Supplementary Table 1. Out of 63 samples, 6 did not yield sufficient RNA and thus could not be analysed further. For the remaining 57 samples, cDNA was synthesized from total RNA according to the kit instructions (SuperScriptIII, Invitrogen) and was diluted 1:5 in water as template in qPCR experiment. Power SYBr green qPCR mastermix was used from Thermo Fisher Scientific. PCR protocol included the following steps: denaturation at 95 °C for 30 seconds; annealing at 60 °C for 60 seconds; elongation at 72 °C for 30 seconds; cycle repeat for 35 times. The primers used are listed in Table 2. The primer efficiency was tested by a dilution series and their amplicons were sequenced (MWG Operon Eurofins Genomics). The Ct values were normalized using GenEx6 software for the efficiency of primers, sample amount (RNA input into cDNA synthesis), qPCR repeats (duplicates), and for two reference genes – hydroxymethylbilane synthase (HMBS) and tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase (YWHAZ). There was no significant effect of egg treatment ($F_{2,15.5} = 0.686$, $p = 0.518$ for HMBS; $F_{2,16.8} = 1.890$, $p = 0.182$ for YWHAZ) or interaction effect between egg treatment and embryonic tissue ($F_{4,30.7} = 1.319$, $p = 0.285$ for HMBS; $F_{4,31.5} = 0.291$, $p = 0.881$ for YWHAZ) for either of the reference genes (data is provided in Supplementary Table 2). All samples were run on one plate. The intra-assay coefficient of variation was 7.3% for AR and 5.5% for ER α .

Statistics. The data were analysed by linear mixed model using IBM-SPSS (version 23) and R⁴⁴ (version 3.5.3) software. The normalized Ct values were analysed for each receptor gene (AR or ER α) and reference gene (HMBS or YWHAZ) by taking the Ct value as a dependent variable, egg treatment (three levels: oil, T, and A4) and embryonic tissue (three levels: head, decapitated body, and EMs) as well as their interaction as fixed factors, and the embryo identity as a random factor, followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests for multiple comparisons.

Data Availability

The datasets supporting this article are provided in the supplementary material.

References

- Brown, C. L. *et al.* Maternal triiodothyronine injections cause increases in swimbladder inflation and survival rates in larval striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*. *J. Exp. Zool.* **248**, 168–176 (1988).
- Radder, R. S. Maternally derived egg yolk steroid hormones and sex determination: review of a paradox in reptiles. *J. Biosci.* **32**, 1213–1220 (2007).
- von Engelhardt, N. & Groothuis, T. G. G. Maternal hormones in avian eggs. in *Hormones and Reproduction of Vertebrates, Volume 4: Birds* (ed. David O. Norris and Kristin H. Lopez) 91–127, <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1343.015> (Academic Press, 2011).
- Groothuis, T. G. G., Müller, W., von Engelhardt, N., Carere, C. & Eising, C. Maternal hormones as a tool to adjust offspring phenotype in avian species. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **29**, 329–352 (2005).
- Gil, D. Hormones in avian eggs: Physiology, ecology and behavior. *Adv. Study Behav.* **38**, 337–398 (2008).
- Del Giudice, M. Fetal programming by maternal stress: Insights from a conflict perspective. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **37**, 1614–1629 (2012).
- Braun, T., Challis, J. R., Newnham, J. P. & Sloboda, D. M. Early-life glucocorticoid exposure: The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, placental function, and longterm disease risk. *Endocr. Rev.* **34**, 885–916 (2013).
- Schwabl, H. Yolk is a source of maternal testosterone for developing birds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **90**, 11446–11450 (1993).
- Groothuis, T. G. G. & Schwabl, H. Hormone mediated maternal effects in birds: mechanisms matter but what do we know of them? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B-Biological Sci.* **363**, 1647–1661 (2008).
- Paitz, R. T., Bowden, R. M. & Casto, J. M. Embryonic modulation of maternal steroids in European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Proc. R. Soc. B-Biological Sci.* **278**, 99–106 (2011).
- Kumar, N., van Faassen, M., Kema, I., Gahr, M. & Groothuis, T. G. G. Early embryonic modification of maternal hormones differs systematically among embryos of different laying order: A study in birds. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **269C**, 53–59 (2018).
- Kumar, N. *et al.* Avian yolk androgens are metabolized instead of taken up by the embryo during the first days of incubation. *J. Exp. Biol.* **193**, 193961, <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.193961> (2019).
- Ferner, K. & Mess, A. Evolution and development of fetal membranes and placentation in amniote vertebrates. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* **178**, 39–50 (2011).
- Pfannkuche, K. A. *et al.* Examining a pathway for hormone mediated maternal effects – Yolk testosterone affects androgen receptor expression and endogenous testosterone production in young chicks (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **172**, 487–493 (2011).
- Smith, C. A., Andrews, J. E. & Sinclair, A. H. Gonadal sex differentiation in chicken embryos: Expression of estrogen receptor and aromatase genes. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **60**, 295–302 (1997).
- Yoshida, K., Shimada, K. & Saito, N. Expression of P450(17 α) hydroxylase and P450 aromatase genes in the chicken gonad before and after sexual differentiation. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **102**, 233–240 (1996).
- Woods, J. E., Simpson, R. M. & Moore, P. L. Plasma testosterone levels in the chick embryo. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **27**, 543–547 (1975).
- Paitz, R. T. & Bowden, R. M. A proposed role of the sulfotransferase/sulfatase pathway in modulating yolk steroid effects. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **48**, 419–427 (2008).
- Paitz, R. T., Duffield, K. R. & Bowden, R. M. Characterizing the distribution of steroid sulfatase during embryonic development: when and where might metabolites of maternal steroids be reactivated? *J. Exp. Biol.* **220**, 4567–4570 (2017).
- Gasc, J. M., Stumpf, W. E. & Sar, M. Androgen target cells in the pituitary of the chick embryo. *J. Steroid Biochem.* **11**, 1201–1203 (1979).
- Endo, D., Murakami, S., Akazome, Y. & Park, M. K. Sex difference in Ad4BP/SF-1 mRNA expression in the chick-embryo brain before gonadal sexual differentiation. *Zoolog. Sci.* **24**, 877–882 (2007).
- Gasc, J. M. Estrogen target cells in gonads of the chicken embryo during sexual differentiation. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* **55**, 331–342 (1980).
- Andrews, J. E., Smith, C. A. & Sinclair, A. H. Sites of estrogen receptor and aromatase expression in the chicken embryo. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **108**, 182–190 (1997).
- Guennoun, R., Reyssbrion, M. & Gasc, J. M. Progesterone Receptors in Hypothalamus and Pituitary during the Embryonic-Development of the Chick - Regulation by Sex Steroid-Hormones. *Dev. Brain Res.* **37**, 1–9 (1987).
- Albergotti, L. C., Hamlin, H. J., McCoy, M. W. & Guillelte, L. J. Jr. Endocrine Activity of Extraembryonic Membranes Extends beyond Placental Amnions. *PLoS One* **4**, e5452–e5452 (2009).
- Pavlik, A., Novotna, B. & Jelinek, R. Glucocorticoid Receptor-Mediated Teratogenesis and Cell-Proliferation in the Limbs and Face of the Chick-Embryo. *Teratog. Carcinog. Mutagen.* **6**, 441–450 (1986).
- Griffith, O. W., Brandley, M. C., Whittington, C. M., Belov, K. & Thompson, M. B. Comparative genomics of hormonal signaling in the chorioallantoic membrane of oviparous and viviparous amniotes. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **244**, 19–29 (2017).
- Grzegorzewska, A. K., Lis, M. W. & Sechman, A. Immunolocalization of Leptin Receptor and mRNA Expression of Leptin and Estrogen Receptors as well as Caspases in the Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) of the Chicken Embryo. *Folia Biol.* **64**, 79–87 (2016).
- McNatt, L. G., Weimer, L., Yanni, J. & Clark, A. F. Angiostatic Activity of Steroids in the Chick Embryo CAM and Rabbit Cornea Models of Neovascularization. *J. Ocul. Pharmacol. Ther.* **15**, 413–423 (1999).
- Cruze, L., Hamlin, H. J., Kohno, S., McCoy, M. W. & Guillelte, L. J. Evidence of steroid hormone activity in the chorioallantoic membrane of a Turtle (*Pseudemys nelsoni*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **186**, 50–57 (2013).
- Nowak-Sliwinska, P., Segura, T. & Iruela-Arispe, M. L. The chicken chorioallantoic membrane model in biology, medicine and bioengineering. *Angiogenesis* **17**, 779–804 (2014).
- Byerly, T. Growth of the chick embryo in relation to its food supply. *J. Exp. Biol.* **9**, 15–44 (1932).
- Hsu, T. Y. *et al.* Expression of Androgen Receptor in Human Placentas from Normal and Preeclamptic Pregnancies. *Taiwan. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **48**, 262–267 (2009).
- Kim, S. C., Park, M.-N., Lee, Y. J., Joo, J. K. & An, B.-S. Interaction of steroid receptor coactivators and estrogen receptors in the human placenta. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **56**, 239–247 (2016).
- Filiberto, A. C. *et al.* Birthweight is associated with DNA promoter methylation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human placenta. *Epigenetics* **6**, 566–572 (2011).
- Mparmpakas, D. *et al.* Differential expression of placental glucocorticoid receptors and growth arrest-specific transcript 5 in term and preterm pregnancies: evidence for involvement of maternal stress. *Obstet. Gynecol. Int.* **2014**, 1–9 (2014).
- Saif, Z. *et al.* Identification of eight different isoforms of the glucocorticoid receptor in Guinea pig placenta: Relationship to preterm delivery, sex and betamethasone exposure. *PLoS One* **11**, e0148226 (2016).
- Carere, C. & Balthazart, J. Sexual versus individual differentiation: the controversial role of avian maternal hormones. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **18**, 73–80 (2007).
- Paitz, R. T. & Bowden, R. M. Progesterone metabolites, ‘xenobiotic-sensing’ nuclear receptors, and the metabolism of maternal steroids. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **166**, 217–221 (2010).
- Winkler, D. W. Testosterone in Egg-Yolks - an Ornithologists Perspective. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **90**, 11439–11441 (1993).
- Mock, D. W. & Forbes, L. S. Life-History Consequences of Avian Brood Reduction. *Auk* **111**, 115–123 (1994).

42. Wilson, A. J. *et al.* Selection on mothers and offspring: Whose phenotype is it and does it matter? *Evolution* (N. Y). **59**, 451–463 (2005).
43. Müller, W., Lessells, C. M., Korsten, P. & von Engelhardt, N. Manipulative signals in family conflict? On the function of maternal yolk hormones in birds. *Am. Nat.* **169**, E84–E96 (2007).
44. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org/>, <https://doi.org/10.1348/000712608X366867> (2013).
45. Patten, B. M. *The early embryology of the chick.*, <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.17672> (Philadelphia: P. Blakiston, 1920).

Acknowledgements

We thank Ido Pen and Shane Wright for discussion on statistics. This research was supported by Ubbo Emmius research grant by the University of Groningen to TG in collaboration with the Max Planck Institute for Ornithology.

Author Contributions

The work was based on a grant from the University of Groningen to T.G. N.K., M.G., and T.G. designed the details of the experiments, discussed, and interpreted the results. N.K. and A.L. performed the experiments. N.K. analysed the data and prepared the manuscript, on which M.G. and T.G. provided feedback. All authors gave final approval for publication.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48001-x>.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2019